arXiv:1003.0968v1 [math-ph] 4 Mar 2010

NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH TWO SYMMETRIC POINT INTERACTIONS IN ONE DIMENSION

HYNEK KOVAŘÍK AND ANDREA SACCHETTI

Abstract. We consider a time-dependent one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a symmetric potential double well represented by two Dirac's δ. Among our results we give an explicit formula for the integral kernel of the unitary semigroup associated with the linear part of the Hamiltonian. Then we establish the corresponding Strichartz-type estimate and we prove local existence and uniqueness of the solution to the original nonlinear problem.

AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q55, 35B40, 81Q05

Keywords: Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, double-well potential, Strichartz estimate

1. Introduction

A presence of a certain symmetry in various physical systems is often linked to some symmetric double well potential. Models with such kind of potentials are therefore of interest in many fields of research. One of the most important phenomena observed in these situations is the spontaneous symmetry breaking, which arises in a wide range of physical systems modelled by nonlinear equations with double well potential. For instance, in classical physics it has been experimentally observed for laser beams in Kerr media and focusing nonlinearity [9, 19]. Spontaneous symmetry breaking phenomenon may also arise in the so-called Bose Einstein condensates, where the effective double well potential is formed by the combined effect of a parabolic-like trap and a periodic optical lattice [6, 14, 25]. From a theoretical point of view, nonlinear systems with a double well potential have been recently studied in the semiclassical limit [7, 28] or in the limit of large distance between the two wells [23, 27].

In order to introduce a simplified model of nonlinear Schrödinger equations describing the basic features of systems with double-well potentials, we consider in the present paper a one-dimensional system where the two wells are represented by two Dirac's δ potentials. In other words, we will deal with the nonlinear time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t\psi_t = H_\alpha\psi_t + \nu\,|\psi_t|^{2\mu}\,\psi_t \\ \psi_t(x)|_{t=0} = \psi_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where ψ_0 is the initial data, μ, ν are positive numbers and H_α, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, denotes a one-dimensional Schrödinger operator with symmetric delta interactions of strength α and placed at the points $x = \pm a$, see section 2 below for a precise definition of H_α. Although the stationary states and of the symmetry breaking bifurcation for equation (1.1) with cubic nonlinearity have been studied already by Jackson and Weinstein [22], much less is known about the time behaviour of the wave function for fixed distance between the two wells.

The evolution equation (1.1) with one delta interaction has been considered by several authors [2, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 29, 33]. In [2], among other things, local existence and uniqueness of the solution of the associated nonlinear evolution equation is proved. The key point in the analysis of the

Date: March 4, 2010.

corresponding nonlinear problem in [2] is a Strichartz type estimate for the time propagator of the free Laplacian with one delta interaction restricted to the absolutely continuous part of the spectrum. Such a Strichartz type inequality follows, in the model with one delta interaction, easily from the (quasi) explicit formula for the time propagator previously given by [3, 4, 18, 31].

Here we will deal with the situation when we have two delta interactions of the same strength. As in [2] the crucial ingredient is again a suitable Strichartz type estimate. The main technical difficulty with respect to the case treated in [2] is the fact that we don't have an explicit expression for the associated time propagator at hand. Therefore, as a first step in the analysis of equation (1.1) we derive a formula for the integral kernel of the time propagator of H_α in form of a series of certain special functions, see Theorem 3.1. In the next step we establish the corresponding Strichartz type estimate, Theorem 3.5. With the help of this Strichartz estimate and standard tools of the analysis of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, [8], we then prove local existence and uniqueness of a solution of (1.1).

We would like to point out that our motivation was not only to obtain the decay estimate (3.3) for the evolution operator, but also to give an explicit expression for the integral kernel of the evolution operator, which can be used for numerical simulations of equation (1.1) based on spectral splitting methods, see e.g. [29] for numerical analysis of (1.1) equation with one single Dirac's δ.

The text is organised as follows. After the preliminary section 2, where we briefly recall some basic properties of the operator H_α, we announce the main results of our paper, see section 3. Most of the proofs are presented in section 4. Some lengthy technical proofs are postponed to the appendices. Concerning the notation, we denote by $\|u\|_p$ the norm of a function u in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$. In the case $p = 2$ we will sometimes write $\|u\|$ instead of $\|u\|_2$.

2. Preliminaries

The operator H_α in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is associated to the closed quadratic form

$$Q[\psi] = \|\psi'\|^2 + \alpha\left(|\psi(a)|^2 + |\psi(-a)|^2\right), \quad \psi \in D(Q) = H^1(\mathbb{R}). \tag{2.1}$$

It is well-known, see e.g. [5], that the functions from the domain of H_α satisfy the boundary conditions

$$\psi(\pm a + 0) = \psi(\pm a - 0) \tag{2.2}$$

$$\alpha\,\psi(\pm a + 0) = \psi'(\pm a + 0) - \psi'(\pm a - 0). \tag{2.3}$$

Then H_α acts on its domain $D(H_\alpha)$ as

$$H_\alpha\psi = -\psi'', \quad D(H_\alpha) = \left\{\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}\backslash\{\pm a\}) \,:\, \psi \text{ satisfies (2.2) and (2.3)}\right\}.$$

Notice that, due to (2.2), the function $\psi \in D(H_\alpha)$ is continuous at $x = \pm a$ and therefore $D(H_\alpha)$ is a subspace of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, in the following we denote by $\psi(\pm a)$ the limit (2.2). It is useful to recall some basic properties of the spectrum of H_α. The essential spectrum of H_α is purely absolutely continuous and coincides with the positive real axis:

$$\sigma_{\rm ess}(H_\alpha) = \sigma_{\rm ac}(H_\alpha) = [0, +\infty)\,.$$

From the explicit form of the resolvent operator $(H_\alpha - z)^{-1}$, see [5, Chap. II.2] it is straightforward to determine the discrete spectrum of H_α: if $\alpha \geq 0$, then the discrete spectrum of H_α is empty. For $\alpha < 0$ the discrete spectrum consists of negative eigenvalues E given given by the implicit equation

$$(-2ik + \alpha)^2 = \alpha^2 e^{i4ka}, \quad k = \sqrt{E}, \quad \Im k \geq 0\,.$$

In particular, if $a \leq -\frac{1}{\alpha}$ then the discrete spectrum of H_α consists of only one eigenvalue $E_1(a,\alpha)$ defined by

$$E_1(a,\alpha) = -\frac{1}{4a^2}\left[W\left(-a\alpha e^{a\alpha}\right) - a\alpha\right]^2, \tag{2.4}$$

where $W(\cdot)$ is the Lambert's special function defined by the equation $W(x)e^{W(x)} = x$, [10]. If $a > -\frac{1}{\alpha}$ then the discrete spectrum of H_α consists of two eigenvalues: $E_1(a,\alpha)$ and

$$E_2(a,\alpha) = -\frac{1}{4a^2}\left[W\left(+a\alpha e^{a\alpha}\right) - a\alpha\right]^2 . \tag{2.5}$$

3. Main results

We first state a result which gives an explicit formula for the evolution operator associated with H_α in terms of a series of parabolic cylinder functions. We then make use of this formula to study local existence and uniqueness of the nonlinear problem (1.1). In order to formulate our results we need to introduce some notation. Let P_c be the spectral projector of H_α on its absolute continuous spectrum. Moreover, define

$$z_n := z_n(x,y) = 4an + |x+a| + |y+a|$$

$$a_n := a_n(x,y,t) = \frac{z_n}{4\sqrt{t}} - \frac{\alpha\sqrt{t}}{4}\,, \qquad b_n := b_n(x,y,t) = \frac{z_n}{4\sqrt{t}} + \frac{\alpha\sqrt{t}}{4}\,.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $t > 0$ and assume that $a\alpha \neq -1$. Then the integral kernel of the operator $\exp(-it\,H_\alpha)\,P_c$ is given by*

$$U_\alpha(t;x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi i t}}\; e^{i|x-y|^2/4t} - \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty}\left[p_m(t;x,y) + p_m(t;-x,-y)\right], \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$p_m(t;x,y) = (-1)^m\, r_{\frac{m}{2}}\left(t;x,(-1)^m y\right)\,,$$

$$r_n(t;x,y) = \operatorname{sign}(\alpha)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\,|\alpha|^{2n+1}\left(\frac{it}{2}\right)^n e^{i\frac{z_n^2}{4t}} e^{(ia_n - b_n)^2}\, D\left[-2n-1, -\operatorname{sign}(\alpha)2i(a_n+ib_n)\right] \tag{3.2}$$

and $D[n,z]$ denotes the parabolic cylinder function. Moreover, the series on the right hand side of (3.1) converges for any $t > 0$ uniformly with respect to x and y.

Remark 3.2. *Here we assume $a\alpha \neq -1$ for technical reasons. Note that for $a\alpha = -1$ the second eigenvalue E_2 of H_α emerges from the continuous spectrum.*

Remark 3.3. *The evolution operator e^{-itH_α} then has the kernel*

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha(t;x,y) = \Theta(-\alpha)e^{-itE_1}\,\bar{\varphi}_1(y)\varphi_1(x) + \Theta(-1-a\alpha)e^{-itE_2}\,\bar{\varphi}_2(y)\,\varphi_2(x) + U_\alpha(t;x,y)$$

where φ_1 and φ_2 are the normalised eigenfunctions associated to the eigenvalues E_1 and E_2 and $\Theta(\cdot)$ denotes the Heaviside function.

Remark 3.4. *Time evolution in the models involving one or more delta interactions similar to ours have been studied, apart from* [2]*, in many other works, see e.g.* [11, 12, 13]*. For example in* [11] *is considered a model of ionization in which to the unperturbed Hamiltonian $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + g\delta(x)$, with $g > 0$ is applied a perturbing time dependent potential of the form $-g\eta(t)\delta(x)$, where $\eta(t)$ is a periodic function of time. It is then shown that the survival probability of the bound states of the unperturbed Hamiltonian tends to zero as $t \to \infty$ and the nature of this decay is discussed in detail. Similar survival probability is studied in* [12] *for a model where the perturbing potential is of the form $\eta(t)(\delta(x+a) - \delta(x-a))$ with $\eta(t) = r\sin(\omega t)$.*

In our model the linear part of the of the Schrödinger equation corresponds to the Hamiltonian H_α with time-independent potential represented by two symmetric delta interactions. Therefore, instead of looking at the time evolution of the (possible) bound states, we focus on the time evolution of the "continuous part" of the operator, i.e. on the evolution operator restricted to the absolutely continuous part of the spectrum.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following dispersion estimate

Corollary 3.5. *Assume that $a\alpha \neq -1$. Then for any there exists a constant C such that for any $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and any $t > 0$ it holds*

$$\|e^{-itH_\alpha} P_c\, u\|_\infty \,\leq\, C\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, \|u\|_1. \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 3.6. *The same decay behavior for the model with one δ interaction was obtained in* [2]. *Dispersion estimates for Schrödinger operators with regular potentials have been well studied in the literature, see for example* [24, 32]. *In* [32] *it was shown, under certain regularity assumptions on the corresponding potential, that the decay rate $t^{-1/2}$ of the unitary group e^{-itH} as an operator from $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is typical for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators.*

Although the assumptions of [24, 32] *do not allow to include directly the situation treated in our model, it is reasonable to expect that the dispersion estimate* (3.3) *could also be obtained by approximating the delta interactions by suitable sequences of regular potentials and applying the results of* [32].

Our main result concerning the evolution problem (1.1) reads as follows

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. If $\psi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, then the problem* (1.1) *admits a unique local solution*

$$\psi_t \in C((0,T),\, H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1((0,T),\, H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})) \tag{3.4}$$

for some $T > 0$. Moreover, if ψ_t is a solution of (1.1), *then*

$$\|\psi_t\| = \|\psi_0\|, \qquad \mathcal{E}[\psi_t] = \mathcal{E}[\psi_0] \qquad t \in (0,T), \tag{3.5}$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is the energy functional on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$\mathcal{E}[\psi] = \|\partial_x \psi\|^2 + \alpha\left[|\psi(a)|^2 + |\psi(-a)|^2\right] + \frac{\nu}{\mu+1}\,\|\psi\|_{2\mu+2}^{2\mu+2}. \tag{3.6}$$

4. Proofs of the main results

We will need the explicit form of the integral kernel of the resolvent of H_α. According to [5, Chap.II.2], we have

$$\left(\left[H_\alpha - k^2\right]^{-1}\phi\right)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_\alpha(x,y;k)\phi(y)dy, \quad \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}),\ \Im k \geq 0\,,$$

where the integral kernel K_α is given by

$$K_\alpha(x,y;k) = K_0(x,y;k) + \sum_{j=1}^{4} K_\alpha^j(x,y;k) \tag{4.1}$$

with

$$K_\alpha^j(x,y;k) \;\; = -\left(2k((2k+i\alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 e^{i4ka})\right)^{-1} L_\alpha^j(x,y;k)\,, \;\; K_0(x,y;k) = \tfrac{i}{2k}\, e^{ik|x-y|} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_\alpha^1(x,y;k) &= -\alpha(2k+i\alpha)\, e^{ik|x+a|} e^{ik|y+a|}, & L_\alpha^4(x,y;k) &= L_\alpha^1(-x,-y;k) \\ L_\alpha^2(x,y;k) &= i\alpha^2\, e^{2ika}\; e^{ik|x+a|} e^{ik|y-a|}, & L_\alpha^3(x,y;k) &= L_\alpha^2(-x,-y;k). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

4.1. **Evolution operator of the linear equation.** We split the proof of Theorem 3.1 into several lemmas. We start by studying the properties of the function $U_\alpha(t;x,y)$ defined by

$$U_\alpha(t;x,y) = -\frac{i}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} k\, e^{-ik^2t} K_\alpha(x,y;k)dk\,. \tag{4.4}$$

Note that

$$U_\alpha(t;x,y) = U_0(t;x,y) + \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}\frac{f_\alpha(x,y;k)}{(2k+i\alpha)^2+\alpha^2e^{i4ka}}dk \tag{4.5}$$

with

$$f_\alpha(x,y;k) = \sum_{j=1}^4 L^j_\alpha(x,y;k), \quad U_0(t;x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi it}}\, e^{i|x-y|^2/4t}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *For any $t>0$ it holds*

$$U_\alpha(t;x,y) = U_0(t;x,y) - \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^4 U_j(t;x,y), \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$U_j(t;x,y) = -i\sum_{n=0}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}\frac{L^j_\alpha(x,y;k)}{(2k+i\alpha)^2}\left(-\frac{\alpha^2\, e^{i4ka}}{(2k+i\alpha)^2}\right)^n dk. \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. By (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_\alpha(t;x,y) - U_0(t;x,y) &= \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}\,\frac{f_\alpha(x,y;k)}{(2k+i\alpha)^2+\alpha^2e^{i4ka}}\,dk \\ &= \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{f_\alpha(x,y;k)}{(2k+i\alpha)^2}\left(-\frac{\alpha^2\, e^{i4ka}}{(2k+i\alpha)^2}\right)^n dk. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Since

$$f_\alpha(x,y;k) = \mathcal{O}(k) \qquad k\to 0,$$

for each x,y, the series on the right hand side of (4.8) converges uniformly with respect to k on $\mathbb{R}$. We can thus interchange the summation and the integration to get (4.7). □

Lemma 4.2. *For $t>0$ and $a\alpha\neq -1$ we have*

$$U_1(t;x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty r_n(t;x,y), \quad U_2(t;x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \hat r_{n+\frac12}(t;x,y), \quad \hat r_n(t;x,y) = -r_n(t;x,-y).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(t;x,y) &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty i^{2n+1}\alpha^{2n+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}\frac{e^{i4nka}}{(2k+i\alpha)^{2n+1}}e^{ik|x+a|}e^{ik|y+a|}dk \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty i^{2n+1}\alpha^{2n+1}e^{\frac{iz_n^2}{4t}}2^{-(2n+1)}t^n\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ir^2}\left(r+\frac{z_n}{2\sqrt{t}}+\frac{i\alpha\sqrt{t}}{2}\right)^{-(2n+1)}dr \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty i^{2n+1}\alpha^{2n+1}e^{\frac{iz_n^2}{4t}}2^{-(2n+1)}t^n A_{2n+1}\left(\frac{z_n}{2\sqrt{t}},\,\frac{\alpha\sqrt{t}}{2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \mathrm{sign}(\alpha)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\;\alpha^{2n+1}\left(\frac{it}{2}\right)^n e^{\frac{iz_n^2}{4t}}\,e^{-(a_n+ib_n)^2}D\left[-2n-1,-\mathrm{sign}(\alpha)2i(a_n+ib_n)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where we apply formula (A.6), see appendix A. The calculation of $U_2(t;x,y)$ follows the same line. Let $\hat{z}_n := \hat{z}_n(x,y) = z_n(x,-y)$ and define

$$\hat{a}_n := \hat{a}_n(x,y,t) = \frac{\hat{z}_n}{4\sqrt{t}} - \frac{\alpha\sqrt{t}}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{b}_n := \hat{b}_n(x,y,t) = \frac{\hat{z}_n}{4\sqrt{t}} + \frac{\alpha\sqrt{t}}{4}\,.$$

As above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_2(t;x,y) &= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^{2n+2}\alpha^{2n+2}\exp\left(i\left(\hat{z}_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2/4t\right)2^{-(2n+2)}\,t^{n+\frac{1}{2}}A_{2n+2}\left(\frac{\hat{z}_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\sqrt{t}},\,\frac{\alpha\sqrt{t}}{2}\right) \\ &= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathrm{sign}(\alpha)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\;\alpha^{2n+2}\left(\frac{it}{2}\right)^{\frac{2n+1}{2}}\exp\left(i\left(\hat{z}_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2/4t\right)\,e^{-\left(\hat{a}_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+i\hat{b}_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2} \\ &\qquad D\left[-2n-2,-\mathrm{sign}(\alpha)2i(\hat{a}_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+i\hat{b}_{n+\frac{1}{2}})\right] \end{aligned}$$

where we again applied formula (A.6). □

We will also need a uniform estimate on the sequence $r_n(t;x,y)$ defined in (3.2).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $t>0$ be fixed and assume that $a\alpha \neq -1$. Then there exists a constant C independent of t, x and y, such that for all $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$|r_n(t;x,y)|,\,|r_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(t;x,y)| \;\leq\; C^n t^{\frac{1}{2}}\;\frac{t^{2n}\,n^{-2n}}{(1+|x+a|+|y+a|)^{2n}} \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. From the definition of a_n, b_n, Lemma A.1, appendix A, and Lemma 4.2 we get

$$|r_n(t;x,y)| \;\leq\; \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\;|\alpha|^{2n+1}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^n\;|I_n(t;x,y)|, \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$I_n(t;x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-s^2}(s+\sqrt{2}\,a_n + i\sqrt{2}\,b_n)^{-2n-1}ds$$

Hence

$$|I_n(t;x,y)| \;\leq\; \sqrt{\pi}\,|\sqrt{2}\,b_n|^{-2n-1} \leq\; C\,\left(\frac{t}{z_n}\right)^n,$$

which implies (4.10). The estimate for $|r_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(t;x,y)|$ is completely analogous. □

Next we show that $U_\alpha(t;x,y)$ defines the kernel of the evolution operator associated to H_α.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $K_\alpha(x,y;k)$ be the kernel of the resolvent operator of H_α and let P_c be the spectral projection of H_α on $[0,\infty)$. Then for any test function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\left(e^{-itH_\alpha}P_c\,\phi\right)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} U_\alpha(t;x,y)\,\phi(y)\,dy. \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. Fix $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. By [30, Thm.3.1] it holds

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+} e^{-(it+\epsilon)H_\alpha}\phi = e^{-itH_\alpha}\phi\,, \tag{4.13}$$

Since the absolute continuous spectrum of H_α is the interval $[0,\infty)$, the spectral theorem gives

$$e^{-(it+\epsilon)H_\alpha}P_c = \int_0^\infty e^{-(it+\epsilon)\lambda}dP_\lambda,$$

where P_λ is the spectral projector of H_α on the interval $[0,\lambda]$. By the Stone's formula, see, e.g. [26, ThmVII.13], we have that for any $\lambda \geq 0$

$$P([0,\lambda]) \;=\; \lim_{\delta\to 0^+}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^\lambda \left\{[H_\alpha-(z+i\delta)]^{-1} - [H_\alpha-(z-i\delta)]^{-1}\right\}dz\,.$$

Hence

$$e^{-(it+\epsilon)H_\alpha}P_c\,\phi = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^\infty e^{-(it+\epsilon)z}\lim_{\delta\to 0^+}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{K_\alpha\left(x,y;\sqrt{z+i\delta}\right)-K_\alpha\left(x,y;\sqrt{z-i\delta}\right)\right\}\phi(y)dydz$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi i}\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-(it+\epsilon)k^2}\lim_{\delta\to 0^+}\int_{\mathbb{R}} k\,K_\alpha\left(x,y;\sqrt{k^2-i\delta}\right)\phi(y)\,dy\,dk$$
$$+\frac{1}{\pi i}\int_0^\infty e^{-(it+\epsilon)k^2}\lim_{\delta\to 0^+}\int_{\mathbb{R}} k\,K_\alpha\left(x,y;\sqrt{k^2+i\delta}\right)\phi(y)\,dy\,dk, \tag{4.14}$$

where we have substituted $k=\sqrt{z}$ in the integral containing the kernel $K_\alpha\left(x,y;\sqrt{z+i\delta}\right)$ and $k=-\sqrt{z}$ in the integral containing the kernel $K_\alpha\left(x,y;\sqrt{z-i\delta}\right)$. we find out that. Since $\phi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $|kK_\alpha(x,y;\sqrt{k^2+i\delta})|$ is uniformly bounded for $\delta\geq 0$ small enough, we can exchange the limit and integration in (4.14). Note also that

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0^+} kK_\alpha\left(x,y;\sqrt{k^2\pm i\delta}\right) = k\,K_\alpha(x,y;\pm|k|)\qquad \forall\, k\neq 0$$

and that $kK_\alpha(x,y;k)=\mathcal{O}(1)$ as $k\to 0$. From the Fubini theorem we then obtain

$$\left(e^{-(it+\epsilon)H_\alpha}P_c\phi\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\phi(y)\int_{\mathbb{R}} kK_\alpha(x,y,k)e^{-k^2(it+\epsilon)}\,dk\,dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} U_\alpha(t-i\epsilon;x,y)\phi(y)dy. \tag{4.15}$$

Passing to the limit $\epsilon\to 0+$ in (4.15) gives

$$\left(e^{-itH_\alpha}P_c\,\phi\right)(x) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0+}\int_{\mathbb{R}} U_\alpha(t-i\epsilon;x,y)\phi(y)dy\,, \tag{4.16}$$

see (4.13). By (4.5) it can be directly verified that for any x, $y\in\mathbb{R}$ it holds

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0+} U_\alpha(t-i\epsilon;x,y) = U_\alpha(t;x,y). \tag{4.17}$$

Indeed, since $U_0(t;x,y)$ is continuous in $t\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, it follows that

$$U_\alpha(t;x,y)-U_\alpha(t-i\epsilon;x,y) = -\frac{i}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}\,\frac{f_\alpha(x,y;k)(1-e^{-\epsilon k^2})}{(2k+i\alpha)^2+\alpha^2e^{i4ka}}\,dk + o(1) \tag{4.18}$$

as $\epsilon\to 0+$. To show that the first term on the right hand side of (4.18) converges to zero, we observe that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0+}\int_{-R}^{R} e^{-ik^2t}\,\frac{f_\alpha(x,y;k)(1-e^{-\epsilon k^2})}{(2k+i\alpha)^2+\alpha^2e^{i4ka}}\,dk = 0 \tag{4.19}$$

for any $R>0$. On the other hand, a direct calculation shows that

$$\frac{f_\alpha(x,y;k)}{(2k+i\alpha)^2+\alpha^2e^{i4ka}} = -\frac{\alpha}{2k}\left(e^{ik|x+a|}\,e^{ik|y+a|}+e^{ik|x-a|}\,e^{ik|y-a|}\right)+\mathcal{O}(k^{-2}),$$

where the term $\mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ depends also on x,y and α. From the asymptotic relation

$$\int_R^\infty e^{-zk^2}\,\frac{1}{k}\,dk = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{e^{-zR^2}}{zR^2}\right),\quad R\to\infty,\quad |\arg\ z|<\pi,$$

see e.g. [1, Chap.5], we thus deduce that

$$\int_R^\infty e^{-zk^2}\,\frac{f_\alpha(x,y;k)(1-e^{-\epsilon k^2})}{(2k+i\alpha)^2+\alpha^2e^{i4ka}} = \mathcal{O}(R^{-2}),\quad R\to\infty$$

uniformly with respect to $\epsilon\geq 0$. The integral corresponding to $k\in(-\infty,-R)$ is treated in the same way. This together with (4.19) implies (4.17). It follows that for any $x\in\mathbb{R}$ the function $|U_\alpha(t-i\epsilon;x,y)|$ is uniformly bounded for ϵ nonnegative and small enough and y on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$. Hence we can interchange the limit with the integral in (4.16) to conclude the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since

$$U_3(t;x,y) = U_2(t;-x,-y), \quad U_4(t;x,y) = U_1(t;-x,-y)\,, \tag{4.20}$$

the statement of the Theorem follows from Lemmata 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4. □

4.2. **Proof of Corollary 3.5.** We need two auxiliary Lemmata.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $a\alpha \neq -1$. For any $T > 0$ there exists a constant C_T such that*

$$\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}} |U_\alpha(t;x,y)| \leq C_T\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad t \in [0,T].$$

Proof. Clearly

$$|U_0(t;x,y)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}.$$

It thus remains to estimate $U_j(t;x,y), j = 1,2,3,4$. By (4.10) we have

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(t;x,y)\right|\,, \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n(t;x,y)\right| \leq C'\, t^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad t \in [0,T],$$

where the constant C' depends only on T. On the other hand, for $n = 0$ it follows directly from the definition that

$$|r_0(t;x,y)|\,,\ |r_{\frac{1}{2}}(t;x,y)| \leq C,$$

where C is a real number. □

Analogous upper bound on $U_\alpha(x,y;t)$ for large t is given in the following Lemma, whose proof we postpone to appendix B.

Lemma 4.6. *There exists a constant C such that for all t large enough it holds*

$$\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}} |\sqrt{t}\; U_\alpha(x,y;t)| \leq C. \tag{4.21}$$

Proof of Corollary 3.5. By Lemmata 4.5 and 4.6 we have

$$\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}} |U_\alpha(t;x,y)| \leq C\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $t > 0$ and some constant C independent of t. Hence

$$\|e^{-itH_\alpha} P_c\, \phi\|_\infty \leq C\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, \|\phi\|_1 \qquad \forall\, \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

By density, this inequality extends to all $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. □

As a consequence we obtain the following Strichartz-type estimate.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $r \geq 2$ and $q = \frac{4r}{r-2}$. Then for any $T > 0$ there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|e^{-itH_\alpha} P_c\, u\|_{L^q((0,T),L^r(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C\, \|u\|_2 \qquad \forall\, u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \tag{4.22}$$

Proof. Let $0 < t < T$. In view of (3.3) and the obvious inequality

$$\|e^{-itH_\alpha} P_c\, u\|_2 \leq \|u\|_2,$$

the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem implies that

$$\|e^{-itH_\alpha}\, P_c\, u\|_p \leq C\, t^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}\, \|u\|_r, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = 1 \tag{4.23}$$

for some C and $p \in [2,\infty]$. Since H_α is self-adjoint, (4.22) follows from (4.23) and [8, Thm. 2.7.1]. □

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.7.** In order to study the well-posedness of the nonlinear equation (1.1), we follow the strategy adopted in [2]. To this end we define the operator T_α by

$$T_\alpha = \begin{cases} H_\alpha & \alpha \geq 0 \\ H_\alpha - E_1(a,\alpha) & \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

The key point, apart from the Strichartz estimate, is to prove the following technical result, see also [8, Sec.3.7].

Proposition 4.8. *Let $p \in [2,\infty]$. Then the domain $D(T_\alpha)$ of T_α is embedded in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, there exists a constant C such that for any $\varepsilon < 0$ and any $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ it holds*

$$\|(\varepsilon\, T_\alpha - 1)^{-1}\, u\|_p \,\leq\, C\, \|u\|_p. \tag{4.24}$$

Proof. Consider first the case $\alpha > 0$. Since $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ is continuously embedded in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for any $p \in [2,\infty]$, the embedding $D(T_\alpha) = D(H_\alpha) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R})$ follows in view of the fact that $D(H_\alpha)$ is a subspace of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. To prove (4.24) we note that $(\varepsilon\, T_\alpha - 1)^{-1} = (\varepsilon\, H_\alpha - 1)^{-1}$ is an integral operator with the kernel

$$\varepsilon^{-1} K_\alpha(x,y;i\lambda) = \varepsilon^{-1} K_0(x,y;i\lambda) + \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{4} K_\alpha^j(x,y;i\lambda), \quad \lambda = -i\,\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} > 0.$$

First we observe that

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_0(x,y;i\lambda) u(y)\, dy = \frac{1}{2\lambda\varepsilon}\, \left(e^{-\lambda|\cdot|} * u\right)(x).$$

By the Young inequality we thus get

$$\left\|\varepsilon^{-1} \textstyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_0(x,y;i\lambda)\, u(y)\, dy\right\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda|\varepsilon|}\, \|e^{-\lambda|\cdot|}\|_1 \|u\|_p = \|u\|_p.$$

To control the other terms in $K_\alpha(x,y;i\lambda)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} K_\alpha^1(x,y;i\lambda) + K_\alpha^2(x,y;i\lambda) &= \frac{-\alpha\, e^{-\lambda|x+a|} e^{-\lambda|y+a|}}{(2\lambda+\alpha)^2 - \alpha^2 e^{-4\lambda a}} \\ &+ \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^2\, e^{-\lambda|x+a|} e^{-\lambda|y+a|}}{2\lambda((2\lambda+\alpha)^2 - \alpha^2 e^{-4\lambda a})}\, \left(1 - e^{-2\,\lambda\, a}\, e^{-\lambda(|y-a|-|y+a|)}\right) & y < 0 \\ \frac{\alpha^2\, e^{-\lambda|x+a|} e^{-\lambda|y-a|}}{2\lambda((2\lambda+\alpha)^2 - \alpha^2 e^{-4\lambda a})}\, \left(e^{-\lambda(|y+a|-|y-a|)} - e^{-2\,\lambda\, a}\right) & 0 \leq y \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

see equation (4.3). It is easily seen that the function $\lambda/((2\lambda+\alpha)^2 - \alpha^2 e^{-4\lambda a})$ is bounded for $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$. On the other hand, for $y < 0$ we have $0 \leq |y-a| - |y+a| \leq 2a$. Hence for some constant $C > 0$, independent of $y < 0$, it holds

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \frac{1 - e^{-2\,\lambda\, a}\, e^{-\lambda(|y-a|-|y+a|)}}{(2\lambda+\alpha)^2 - \alpha^2 e^{-4\lambda a}} \,\leq\, \sup_{\lambda>0} \frac{1 - e^{-4\,\lambda\, a}}{(2\lambda+\alpha)^2 - \alpha^2 e^{-4\lambda a}} \,\leq C.$$

The last term in (4.25), which corresponds to $y \geq 0$ is estimated in the same way. We can thus conclude that there exists a constant $C_{\alpha,a}$, independent of x, y and λ, such that

$$|K_\alpha^1(x,y;i\lambda) + K_\alpha^2(x,y;i\lambda)| \,\leq\, C_{\alpha,a}\, \frac{1}{\lambda}\, e^{-\lambda|x+a|}\, \left(e^{-\lambda|y+a|} + e^{-\lambda||y|-a|}\right). \tag{4.26}$$

From the Hölder and Minkowski inequality we then get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\textstyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} (K_\alpha^1(x,y;i\lambda) + K_\alpha^2(x,y;i\lambda)) u(y)\, dy\|_p &\leq \frac{C_{\alpha,a}}{\lambda}\, \|e^{-\lambda|\cdot|}\|_p\, \left\|\textstyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{-\lambda|y+a|} + e^{-\lambda||y|-a|})|u(y)|\, dy\right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{C_{\alpha,a}}{\lambda}\, \|e^{-\lambda|\cdot|}\|_p\, \|e^{-\lambda|y+a|} + e^{-\lambda||y|-a|}\|_r\, \|u\|_p \\ &\leq \frac{C_{\alpha,a}}{\lambda^2}\, 2^{2+\frac{1}{r}}\, p^{-\frac{1}{p}}\, r^{-\frac{1}{r}}\, \|u\|_p, \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{r}=1$. Since $K^3_\alpha(x,y;i\lambda)+K^4_\alpha(x,y;i\lambda)=K^1_\alpha(-x,-y;i\lambda)+K^2_\alpha(-x,-y;i\lambda)$, we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{|\varepsilon|}\sum_{j=1}^{4}\|\int_{\mathbb{R}}K^j_\alpha(x,y;i\lambda)u(y)\,dy\|_p\;\leq\;\text{const}\;\|u\|_p. \tag{4.28}$$

This completes the proof in the case $\alpha>0$.
For $\alpha\leq 0$ we redefine the parameter λ in the following way:

$$\lambda=-i\sqrt{\varepsilon^{-1}+E_1(a,\alpha)}\,,\qquad \lambda>\sqrt{|E_1(a,\alpha)|}\,,$$

where $E_1(a,\alpha)<0$ is the lowest eigenvalue of H_α defined in (2.4). Then the integral kernel of $(\varepsilon\,T_\alpha-1)^{-1}$ is again equal to $\varepsilon^{-1}K_\alpha(x,y;i\lambda)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C^1_{\alpha,a}$, such that

$$|\varepsilon^{-1}K^1_\alpha(x,y;i\lambda)|\;\leq\;\frac{C^1_{\alpha,a}}{\lambda\,|\varepsilon|\,|\lambda-\sqrt{|E_1(a,\alpha)|}|}\,e^{-\lambda|x+a|}\,e^{-\lambda|y+a|},$$

and similarly for $K^j_\alpha(x,y;i\lambda)$, $j=2,3,4$. The Hölder inequality applied in the same way as in (4.27) then gives

$$\frac{1}{|\varepsilon|}\sum_{j=1}^{4}\|\int_{\mathbb{R}}K^j_\alpha(x,y;i\lambda)u(y)\,dy\|_p\;\leq\;\frac{\text{const}}{\lambda^2\,|\varepsilon|\,|\lambda-\sqrt{|E_1(a,\alpha)|}|}\|u\|_p.$$

Since

$$\lambda^2\,|\varepsilon|\,|\lambda-\sqrt{|E_1(a,\alpha)|}|\;\geq\;\sqrt{|E_1(a,\alpha)|}\qquad\forall\,\lambda>\sqrt{|E_1(a,\alpha)|}\,,$$

the proof is completed. □

Proof of Theorem 3.7. The Strichartz estimate (4.22) and a straightforward application of the proof of [8, Prop. 4.2.1] imply the uniqueness, in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, of a solution to the integral equation

$$\varphi_t=e^{-itT_\alpha}\,\varphi_0-i\nu\int_0^t e^{i(s-t)T_\alpha}\,|\varphi_s|^2\,\varphi_s\,ds. \tag{4.29}$$

Moreover, by Proposition 4.8 and [8, Thms 3.7.1] we get the local existence of the solution to (4.29) and the conservation of energy and charge associated to this equation:

$$\mathcal{E}[\varphi_t]+\Theta(-\alpha)\,E_1(a,\alpha)\|\varphi_t\|^2=\mathcal{E}[\varphi_0]+\Theta(-\alpha)\,E_1(a,\alpha)\|\varphi_0\|^2,\quad \|\varphi_t\|^2=\|\varphi_0\|^2 \tag{4.30}$$

for $t\in(0,T)$. This immediately implies the local existence and uniqueness of the solution of

$$\psi_t=e^{-itH_\alpha}\,\psi_0-i\nu\int_0^t e^{i(s-t)H_\alpha}\,|\psi_s|^2\,\psi_s\,ds \tag{4.31}$$

by setting $\psi_t=e^{iE_1(a,\alpha)t}\,\varphi_t$ if $\alpha<0$ and $\psi_t=\varphi_t$ otherwise. Since (4.31) is equivalent to (1.1), this proves the first part of the statement. The conservation of the charge and energy, equation (3.5), is a direct consequences of (4.30). □

Appendix A.

Lemma A.1. *Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $\gamma>0$, $\delta\neq 0$, and consider the following integral*

$$A_{2n+1}(\gamma,\delta)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-ir^2}(r+\gamma+i\delta)^{-2n-1}dr. \tag{A.1}$$

i. *If $\delta>0$:*

$$A_{2n+1}=-i(-2i)^n\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-i(\gamma+i\delta)^2/2}D\left[-2n-1,(1-i)(\gamma+i\delta)\right] \tag{A.2}$$

ii. *If $\delta < 0$:*

$$A_{2n+1} = -i(-2i)^n\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-i(\gamma+i\delta)^2/2}D\left[-2n-1,(1-i)(\gamma+i\delta)\right] + i^n\frac{2\pi i}{(2n)!}e^{-i(\gamma+i\delta)^2}H_{2n}\left(-\sqrt{i}(\gamma+i\delta)\right) \tag{A.3}$$

where $D(n,z)$ is the parabolic cylinder function and where H_m is the Hermite's polynom of degree m.

Proof. Let $a = -\gamma - i\delta$, where $\delta > 0$, and $z = r - a$, then integral (A.1) takes the form

$$A_{2n+1} = e^{-ia^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}+i\delta} e^{-iz^2-2iza}z^{-2n-1}dz \tag{A.4}$$

By means of the change of variable $s = e^{-i\pi/4}z$ and by the Cauchy theorem we then get

$$A_{2n+1} = e^{-ia^2}e^{-in\pi/2}\int_{(\ell)} e^{s^2-2ie^{i\pi/4}as}s^{-2n-1}ds = e^{-ia^2}e^{-in\pi/2}\int_{-(\epsilon)} e^{s^2-2ie^{i\pi/4}as}s^{-2n-1}ds,$$

where (ℓ) is the complex path $\{\zeta\in\mathbb{C}\ :\ \zeta = (x+i\delta)e^{-i\pi/4},\ x\in\mathbb{R}\}$ and where (ϵ) is the complex path defined in Figure 19.3 in [1]. Finally, we set $q = \sqrt{2}s$ and apply formula (19.5.4) by [1] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2n+1} &= -e^{-ia^2}(-i)^n2^n\int_{(\epsilon)} e^{q^2/2-(\sqrt{2}ie^{i\pi/4}a)q}q^{-2n-1}dq \\ &= -e^{-ia^2}(-2i)^n\sqrt{2\pi}ie^{-\left(\sqrt{2}ie^{i\pi/4}a\right)^2/4}D\left[-2n-1,\sqrt{2}ie^{i\pi/4}a\right]. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $\delta < 0$ then integral (A.4) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2n+1} &= e^{-ia^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}-i|\delta|} e^{-iz^2-2iza}z^{-2n-1}dz \\ &= e^{-ia^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}+i|\delta|} e^{-iz^2-2iza}z^{-2n-1}dz + 2\pi ie^{-ia^2}\mathrm{Res}\left[e^{-iz^2-2iza}z^{-2n-1}, z=0\right] \end{aligned}$$

where the integral is computed as the case of $\delta > 0$ and where the residue is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Res}\left[e^{-ir^2}(r-a)^{-2n-1}, r=a\right] &= \frac{1}{(2n)!}\frac{d^{2n}}{dr^{2n}}\left(e^{-ir^2}\right)\Big|_{r=a} = \frac{i^n}{(2n)!}\frac{d^{2n}}{ds^{2n}}\left(e^{-s^2}\right)\Big|_{s=\sqrt{i}a} \\ &= \frac{i^n}{(2n)!}e^{-ia^2}H_{2n}\left(\sqrt{i}a\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark A.2. *By formulas (19.4.6) and (19.13.1) of* [1] *equations (A.2) and (A.3) can be written as*

$$A_{2n+1} = -sign(\delta)\,i\,(-2i)^n\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-i(\gamma+i\delta)^2/2}D\left[-2n-1, sign(\delta)(1-i)(\gamma+i\delta)\right] \tag{A.5}$$

Remark A.3. *If we set*

$$\chi = \frac{\gamma-\delta}{2} \quad \textit{and} \quad \eta = \frac{\gamma+\delta}{2}, \quad \textit{then}$$

$$A_{2n+1} = -sign(\delta)\,i\,(-2i)^n\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-(\chi+i\eta)^2}D\left[-2n-1, -sign(\delta)2i(\chi+i\eta)\right]. \tag{A.6}$$

Appendix B. Proof of lemma 4.6

Since $|U_0(t;x,y)| = 1/2\sqrt{\pi t}$, it suffices to consider $U_j(t;x,y)$, $j = 1, ..., 4$. Let

$$f_\alpha(x,y;k) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} L^j_\alpha(x,y;k) = 2\alpha k q(x,y;k) + i\alpha^2 p(x,y;k),$$

with

$$q(x,y;k) = -e^{ik|x+a|}e^{ik|y+a|} + e^{ik|x-a|}e^{ik|y-a|}, \quad p(x,y;k) = h(x,y;k) + h(-x,-y;k)$$
$$h(x,y;k) = e^{ik|x+a|}\left[e^{i2ka}e^{ik|y-a|} - e^{ik|y+a|}\right],$$

and define

$$r_\alpha(k) = \left(1 + \alpha\frac{e^{i4ka}-1}{4ik}\right)4i\alpha + 4k.$$

With this notation the statement of the lemma will follow, if we prove

Lemma B.1. *Let*

$$V^t_\alpha(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}\,\frac{2\,\alpha\,q(x,y;k)}{r_\alpha(k)}dk, \qquad W^t_\alpha(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}\,\frac{i\,\alpha^2\,p(x,y;k)}{k\,r_\alpha(k)}dk.$$

Then there exists a constant C such that for t large enough

$$\sup_{x,y}\left|V^t_\alpha(x,y) + W^t_\alpha(x,y)\right| \le C\,t^{-1/2}. \tag{B.1}$$

Proof. By means of the change of variable

$$\zeta = k\sqrt{t} - \frac{z}{2\sqrt{t}}, \qquad z = |x+a| + |y+a|$$

we get

$$|V^t_\alpha(x,y)| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\zeta^2}\frac{1}{r_\alpha\left(\zeta/\sqrt{t} + z/2t\right)}d\zeta\right|.$$

We can estimate the above integral with the help of the Cauchy theorem for analytic functions replacing the domain of integration with the path

$$\gamma = \left\{w \in \mathbb{C} \;:\; w = \zeta - \delta\frac{i\,\zeta}{\sqrt{1+\zeta^2}},\ \delta > 0,\ \zeta \in \mathbb{R}\right\}.$$

Since the function $r_\alpha(k)$ has at most two zeros, both of them having imaginary part strictly positive, it follows that for t large enough $|r_\alpha(w)|$ is bounded from below by some positive constant in the strip $|\Im w| \le \delta$. A direct calculation shows that for t large enough and some $C_\delta < \infty$ we have

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\zeta^2}\frac{1}{r_\alpha\left(\zeta/\sqrt{t} + z/2t\right)}d\zeta\right| \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2\delta\,\zeta^2}{\sqrt{1+\zeta^2}}}\,d\zeta \le C_\delta,$$

which yields the desired estimate for V^t_α:

$$\sup_{x,y}\left|V^t_\alpha(x,y)\right| \le C\,t^{-1/2}. \tag{B.2}$$

To prove the analogue of (B.2) for W^t_α, we first observe that

$$h(x,y;k) = 0 \ \text{ if } \ y \ge a.$$

We therefore define

$$h_1(x,y;k) = h(x,y;k) = e^{ik|x+a|}e^{ik|y-a|}\,2i\sin(2ka) \quad \text{if } y \le -a$$
$$h_2(x,y;k) = h(x,y;k) = e^{ik|x+a|}\left[e^{i2ka}e^{ik|y-a|} - e^{ik|y+a|}\right] = ke^{ik|x+a|}S(y;k) \quad \text{if } |y| \le a,$$

and consider separately the integrals

$$I_j(x,y,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}\frac{h_j(x,y;k)}{kr_\alpha(k)}dk, \quad j = 1,2.$$

For the first integral we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x,y,t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}\frac{h_2(x,y;k)}{kr_\alpha(k)}dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}\frac{e^{ikz}2i\sin(2ka)}{kr_\alpha(k)}dk \\ &= \frac{4ia}{\sqrt{t}}e^{iz^2/4t}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\zeta^2}\frac{\sin\left[2a(\zeta/\sqrt{t}+z/2t)\right]}{2a(\zeta/\sqrt{t}+z/2t)}\frac{d\zeta}{r_\alpha(\zeta/\sqrt{t}+z/2/t)}\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $z = |x+a| + |y-a|$. Note that the for any $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant C_δ such that

$$\left|\frac{\sin\left[2a(\zeta/\sqrt{t}+z/2t)\right]}{2a(\zeta/\sqrt{t}+z/2t)}\right| \le C_\delta \quad |\Im\zeta| \le \delta$$

We can thus mimic the argument of the proof of Lemma B.1 to arrive at the estimate

$$|I_1(x,y,t)| \le C/\sqrt{t}\,,$$

which holds for all t large enough uniformly in x and y. The same arguments apply to the integral

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x,y,t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}\frac{h_2(x,y;k)}{kr_\alpha(k)}dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik^2t}e^{ik|x+a|}\frac{S(y;k)}{r_\alpha(k)}dk \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}e^{i|x+a|^2/4t}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\zeta^2}\frac{S(y;\zeta/\sqrt{t}+|x+a|/2t)}{r_\alpha(\zeta/\sqrt{t}+|x+a|/2t)}d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

since

$$|S(y;w)| \le C, \ \forall y \in [-a,a], \ |\Im w| \le \delta.$$

Hence we can conclude that for t large enough

$$\sup_{x,y}\left|W_\alpha^t(x,y)\right| \le C\,t^{-1/2}. \tag{B.3}$$

□

Acknowledgements A.S. is grateful to R.Adami for useful discussions. H.K. was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under Grant KO 3636/1-1.

References

[1] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and Mathematical tables*, (Dover, New York: 1964).

[2] R. Adami and A. Sacchetti, *The transition from diffusion to blow-up for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation in dimension 1*, J. Pys. A: Math. Gen. **38**, 8379-8392 (2005).

[3] S. Albeverio, Z. Brzeźniak and L. Dąbrowski, *Time-dependent propagator with point interaction*, J. Phys. A **27**, 4933-43 (1994).

[4] S. Albeverio, Z. Brzeźniak and L. Dąbrowski, *Fundamental Solution of the Heat and Schrödinger Equations with Point Interaction*, J. Func. Anal. **130**, 220-254 (1995).

[5] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Hoegh-Krohn and H. Holden, *Solvable models in quantum mechanics*, (Berlin: Springer, 1988).

[6] M. Albiez, *et al*, *Direct Observation of Tunneling and Nonlinear Self-Trapping in a Single Bosonic Josephson Junction*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 010402 (2005).

[7] D.Bambusi and A.Sacchetti, *Exponential times in the one-dimensional Gross-Pitaevskii equation with multiple well potential*, Comm. Math. Phys. **275**, 1-36 (2007).
[8] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger Equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics (New York: 2003).
[9] C.Cambournac, *et al*, *Symmetry-Breaking Instability of Multimode Vector Solitons*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 083901 (2002).
[10] R.M. Corless, *et al*, *On The Lambert W Function*, Advances in Computational Mathematics **5**, 329-359 (1996).
[11] O.Costin, J.L.Lebowitz and A.Rokhlenko, *Exact results for the ionization of a model quantum system*, J. Phys. A **33**, (2000) 6311–6319.
[12] O.Costin, J.L.Lebowitz and A.Rokhlenko, *Decay versus survival of a localized state subject to harmonic forcing: exact results*, J. Phys. A **35**, (2002) 8943–8951.
[13] O.Costin, J.L.Lebowitz and C.Stucchio, *Ionization of a 1-Dimensional Dipole Model*, preprint: arXiv: math-ph0609069v2 (2007).
[14] F.Dalfovo, S.Giorgini, L.P.Pitaevskii and S.Stringari, *Theory of Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped gases*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 463-512 (1999).
[15] F.F.G. Della Casa and A. Sacchetti, *Stationary states for non linear one-dimensional Schrödinger equations with singular potential*, Physica D **219**, 60-68 (2006).
[16] R. Fukuizumi, M. Ohta abd T. Ozawa, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a point defect*, Ann. I. H. Poincarè (C) **25**, 837-845 (2008).
[17] R.H. Goodman, P.J. Holmes and M.I. Weinstein, *Strong NLS Soliton-Defect Interactions*, Physica D **192**, 215-248 (2004).
[18] B. Gaveau, and L. Schulman, *Explicit time-dependent propagators*, J. Phys. A **19**, 1833-1846 (1986).
[19] K.Hayata and M.Koshiba, *Self-localization and spontaneous symmetry breaking of optical fields propagating in strongly nonlinear channel waveguides: limitations of the scalar field approximation*, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **9**, 1362-1368 (1992).
[20] J. Holmer, J. Marzuola and M. Zworski, *Soliton splitting by external delta potential*, J. Nonl. Sc. **17** (2007), 349-67.
[21] J. Holmer, J. Marzuola and M. Zworski, *Fast soliton scattering by delta impurities*, Commun. Math. Phys. **274** (2007), 187-216.
[22] R.K. Jackson and M.I.Weinstein, *Geometric Analysis of Bifurcation and Symmetry Breaking in a GrossPitaevskii Equation*, J. Stat. Phys. **116**, 881-905 (2004).
[23] E.W.Kirr, P.G.Kevrekidis, E.Shlizerman and M.I.Weinstein, *Symmetry breaking bifurcation in Nonlinear Schrödinger/Gross-Pitaevskii Equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **40**, 566-604 (2008).
[24] F.Nier and A.Soffer, *Dispersion and Strichartz estimates for some finite rank perturbations of the Laplace operator* J. Func. Anal. **198** (2003) 511–535.
[25] S.Raghavan, A.Smerzi, S.Fantoni and S.R.Shenoy, *Coherent oscillations between two weakly coupled Bose-Einstein condensates: Josephson effects, oscillations, and macroscopic quantum self-trapping*, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 620-633 (1999).
[26] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol. I. Functional Analysys* (Academic Press, 1980).
[27] A.Sacchetti, *Nonlinear time-dependent Schroedinger equations: the Gross-Pitaevskii equation with double-well potential*, J. Evol. Eq. **4**, 345-369 (2004).
[28] A.Sacchetti, *Nonlinear double-well Schrodinger equations in the semiclassical limit*, J. Stat. Phys. **119**, 1347-1382 (2005).
[29] A. Sacchetti, *Spectral Splitting method for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with singular potential*, J. Comput. Phys. **227** (2008), 1483-1499.
[30] G. Teschl, *Mathematical Methods in Quantum Mechanics*, (AMS: 2009)
[31] L.S. Schulman, *Application of the propagator for the delta function potential*, in Path Integrals from meV to MeV (edited by Gutzwiller M.C., Ioumata A., Klauder J.K., Streit L.), (Singapore: World Scientific, 1986), 302-311.
[32] R.Weder: $L^p - L^{p'}$ *Estimates for the Schrödinger Equation on the Line and Inverse Scattering for the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation with a Potential*, J. Func. Anal. **170** (2000) 37–68.
[33] D. Witthaut, S. Mossmann and H. J. Korsch, *Bound and resonance states of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in simple model systems*, J. Phys. A **38**, 1777-1792 (2005).

Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Torino
E-mail address: Hynek.Kovarik@polito.it

Facoltá di Scienze, Universitá di Modena e Reggio Emilia
E-mail address: Andrea.Sacchetti@unimore.it